

Aubertine to Dairy Industry Advisory Committee: Vertical Integration, Anti-Trust Concerns, Transparency Must Be Addressed in Defense of the Family Farm, Our National Security, and Our Economy

DARREL J. AUBERTINE June 3, 2010

ISSUE: AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE: AGRICULTURE







e 3, 2010

iry Industry Advisory Committee 8. Department of Agriculture nie L. Whitnen Building oblington, D.C. 20001

ar Committee Members:

ark you for this opportunity to provide public consumer and for taking an active look a duity industry. As a farmer, who milked cows for 35 years, and chair of the New York as Serate Committee on Agriculture, I am glad to have this opportunity to share my units with your.

you are aware, it cannot be over started that demestic production of nells within the dairy interp is on the brists of collapse. Designs the fact that consumer prices are at or near artisse being, farrants in New York and across the country are poid or more now for their chet than they were paid 30 years ago, Over that states these span, more than a farm a day in e-right per work, in New York, State have closed. Many have sold out to another farm in the boson of constraint in basics over his out relificat more can.

e fact is that approach worked for some short term, but this latest sharp in prices has bit biggest and swalled farms, became you cannot stay in business where you look between and \$10 per handredweight of milk sold. Not since the early 1990s has the price of right in consideredly higher than the United States Department of Agriculture's official crest of skerious. This sharp has thrown farmers into our nation's welline class sequiring following.

werer, not every segment of the dairy industry is striggifting—Gury processins continue see reconf. prefix per safe per our of the backs of farmers and the tacquery. We iniously addressing the many concerns relating to price manipulation and anti-tion, the daily is that the dairy firmers will be only in this country with many other agricultural ten to follow. This will here our clineess without a domestic food supply and dependent an increasingly sewtradarine and workfully natergalated world food market. Dairy Industry Advisory Committee U.S. Department of Agriculture tune 3, 2010 Page 2

It is not expectation that his parel will take this rainity very seriously, Certainly, the procussors are not enemy of Erranson and their ground tools benefit Erranson because the manufactures provide a market for the milk. However, the processing sector has openity sought saw ways to use the local Erranson on, through Europts and proviner. The remaining farmens an left with a reliational pit that insolves more taking than giving on the part of the processors.

Enforcing existing anti-turn lines to present the sugge-resegues that are ferring the vertical integration of American agriculture would create a more for resulter. In 1921, the Packers and Stockyards Ant was passed in direct response to the near 50 persons consolidation of the U.S. nearlyactive jundanty by a more flow packers. Pive corporations content me than 189 persons of all best processing today. Rather than blocking the consolidation of these gainst configurations, the florating preventions contents on taggetter than 1920 persons and 1920 persons of U.S. come exports, nearly one-third of supplement, and 20 persons of U.S. come exports, nearly one-third of supplement, and 20 persons of U.S. come exports in the hands of a single transmissional

How can family farms compete against transactional corporations that fix prices through cloud contrasts, have gearanteed us benefit that are not variable to an independent farmer, and can influence under deals? These awayast benefits and the apparent skirring of anti-trans laws are perpotated by a mid. transferring system that provides no real protection for consumers from surregalated imports such as milk provides no media procurious for consumers from surregalated imports such as milk provides no concentrates or endienties ensingulated found advantates our arrain tack base and economies which have depended on their hand advantation our arrain tack base and economies which have depended on their history. Our realized secretary, our food security and our economies executely depends a contrast in the contrast of the farms throughout our auton's history. Our realized secretary, our food security and our economies executely depends on the

In 185. Thomas Jeffernes words to John Jay that American's farmers were on "most vigorous, most independent, most virtuesa" citizens, who are "tind to their constry and wedded to its liberty and interests with the most lasting bands." Today, or farmers are on efter forgotten in the discussions of consortie development and national security because they are increasingly becausing to few.

Resisting consolidation will encourage mere fair competition in the marker, but so toe will mapping piece decisionate. While behaving family frames are shocking consor or reliking the well below water, processors are cashing in on contracts with dealers. By law, precessors soft have to reveal the prices they pay in the open marker, constants have always been private. The family dairy farm with a perishable product and only one constantly beyond ment content with a neal exceptibility produces processor parternship that makes basis that the product of the product processor parternship that makes basis to the product of the product processor parternship that makes basis to the product of the product processor parternship that makes basis to the product of the product processor parternship that makes basis to the product of the product processor parternship that makes basis to the product of the processor of the product processor parternship that makes basis to the product of the product product processor of the product processor parternship that makes basis to the product product product processor of the product processor parternship that makes basis to the processor of the processor of the product processor parternship that makes basis to the processor of the proce Dairy Industry Advisory Committee U.S. Department of Agriculture June 3, 2010 Page 3

Recent history has made clear the link between our energy independence and our aution country. We cannel afford to have a canastraptic offer on servand in-security, our food independence. As a suitor, we can learn from the ministan made in becoming two depen of foreign energy by not all alwing consolers to theceme not dependent or freing food. If the two lasses are related in more ways than one, as reservable energy is a new industry can be taillered to benefit farmers. This industry creates more than 40,000 jbs., adds more than 512 billion suitonally in net farm income each year and decreases the demand for OMEC oil.

As we support energy independence, so it is incumbent on this panel and all Americans's push for policies that benefit the independence of our family farms, We must keep fairly our founding fathers by ensuring that their dreams are not buried beneath dumped impor or planwd under by transautional corporations with no allegiance to anything but their a bettern line.

Family farmers are not begging for federal handouts. Farmers are proud people, who, followe of the land and their industry, have weathered droughts, ovencome cleanes, and outlasted depression. They simply want their labor to be valued, their products to be competitive, and their own government to take their side in the global marketplace.

It is with great concern for our nation and the state of New York that I will continue to weigh in on these important issues and the dairy industry as a whole. I certainly appreciate focus on this issue as well. If I can be of any assistance, please contact me through

Davel J. Ambertine

ab.

WASHINGTON, D.C. (June 3, 2010)—State Sen. Darrel J. Aubertine today submitted comments to the U.S. Department of Agriculture's recently created Dairy Industry Advisory Committee stressing the need to address vertical integration in the industry, anti-trust concerns, and the risks of dependence on foreign food.

"The struggling dairy industry puts other sectors of the Agriculture industry as a whole in jeopardy," said Sen. Aubertine, chair of the Senate Agriculture Committee. "In New York and other areas of this country, dairy supports the infrastructure used by all farmers and the collapse of the dairy industry, or just the collapse of domestic milk production, would drive this nation into a food crisis. We must not become dependent on a world food supply that is already expected to be running short in the next few decades. Protecting the family farmer is a matter of national security and good economics."

In his testimony, which was read to the committee by New York State Senate Agriculture Committee Director, the Senator stresses the economic value of dairy farming and the jobs it supports, along with the need for food security and the lessons learned from becoming too dependent on foreign oil. He notes the record profits in the processing sector, higher prices for consumers and business practices aimed at cutting the local farmer out. He calls for the enforcement of anti-trust laws, a resistance to consolidation in the industry, and price reporting of contract deals by processors.

"This is about defending the family farm," Sen. Aubertine said. "It is incumbent on this state to do all it can to help our farmers, but the larger issues are all federal and we need action. This advisory committee must stand up for our dairy farmers and not back down from the challenge of restoring parity in an industry that has farmers on the brink of collapse and consumers paying more than ever. This committee has the potential accomplish real change, if they use their power on behalf of the farmer and the consumer."

Below is the text of the Senator's testimony:

Dear Committee Members:

Thank you for this opportunity to provide public comment and for taking an active look at the dairy industry. As a farmer, who milked cows for 35 years, and chair of the New York State Senate Committee on Agriculture, I am glad to have this opportunity to share my thoughts with you.

As you are aware, it cannot be over stated that domestic production of milk within the dairy industry is on the brink of collapse. Despite the fact that consumer prices are at or near an all-time high, farmers in New York and across the country are paid no more now for their product than they were paid 30 years ago. Over that same time span, more than a farm a day, some eight per week, in New York State have closed. Many have sold out to another farm with the hopes of staying in business by just milking more cows.

The fact is: that approach worked for some short term, but this latest slump in prices has hit the biggest and smallest farms, because you cannot stay in business when you lose between \$6 and \$10 per hundredweight of milk sold. Not since the early 1990s has the price of milk been consistently higher than the United States Department of Agriculture's official cost of production. This slump has thrown farmers into our nation's welfare class requiring federal subsidies to avoid total collapse.

However, not every segment of the dairy industry is struggling—dairy processors continue to see record profits year after year on the backs of farmers and the taxpayer. Without seriously addressing the many concerns relating to price manipulation and anti-trust, the reality is that the dairy farmer will be lost in this country with many other agricultural sectors to follow. This will leave our citizens without a domestic food supply and dependent on an increasingly overburdened and woefully unregulated world food market.

It is my expectation that this panel will take this reality very seriously. Certainly, the processors are not the enemy of farmers and their profit does benefit farmers because these manufacturers provide a market for the milk. However, the processing sector has openly sought new ways to cut the local farmer out, through imports and powders. The remaining farmers are left with a relationship that involves more taking than giving on the part of the processors.

Enforcing existing anti-trust laws to prevent the mega-mergers that are forcing the vertical integration of American agriculture would create a more fair market. In 1921, the Packers and Stockyards Act was passed in direct response to the near 50 percent consolidation of the U.S. meatpacking industry by a mere five packers. Five corporations control more than 89 percent of all beef processing today. Rather than blocking the consolidation of these giant conglomerates, the federal government continues to approve mergers. For example, the Cargill-Continental deal concentrated 42 percent of U.S. corn exports, nearly one-third of soybeans, and 20 percent of U.S. wheat exports into the hands of a single transnational company.

How can family farms compete against transnational corporations that fix prices through closed contracts, have guaranteed tax benefits that are not available to an independent farmer, and can influence trade deals? These unequal benefits and the apparent skirting of anti-trust laws are perpetuated by a milk marketing system that undercuts what a farmer is paid by processors. This results in a system that provides no real protection for consumers from unregulated imports such as milk protein concentrates or melamine manipulated foods, and devastates our rural tax base and economies which have depended on thriving agriculture industries to support jobs on and off the farms throughout our nation's history. Our national security, our food security and our economic security depends on our agriculture industry.

In 1785, Thomas Jefferson wrote to John Jay that American's farmers were our "most vigorous, most independent, most virtuous" citizens, who are "tied to their country and wedded to its liberty and interests with the most lasting bands." Today, our farmers are too often forgotten in the discussions of economic development and national security because they are increasingly becoming too few.

Resisting consolidation will encourage more fair competition in the market, but so too will requiring price disclosure. While bankrupt family farmers are shooting cows or selling them well below value, processors are cashing in on contracts with dealers. By law, processors only have to reveal the prices they pay on the open market; contracts have always been private. The family dairy farm with a perishable product and only one community buyer must contend with an anti-competitive producer-processor partnership that makes basic privileged information.

Recent history has made clear the link between our energy independence and our national security. We cannot afford to have a catastrophe drive us toward re-securing our food independence. As a nation, we can learn from the mistakes made in becoming too dependent on foreign energy by not allowing ourselves to become too dependent on foreign food. And the two issues are related in more ways than one, as renewable energy is a new industry that can be tailored to benefit farmers. This industry creates more than 40,000 jobs, adds more than \$12 billion nationally in net farm income each year and decreases the demand for OPEC oil.

As we support energy independence, so it is incumbent on this panel and all Americans to push for policies that benefit the independence of our family farms. We must keep faith with our founding fathers by ensuring that their dreams are not buried beneath dumped imports, or plowed under by transnational corporations with no allegiance to anything but their own bottom line.

Family farmers are not begging for federal handouts. Farmers are proud people, who, for love of the land and their industry, have weathered droughts, overcome disease, and outlasted depression. They simply want their labor to be valued, their products to be competitive, and their own government to take their side in the global marketplace. America's farmers are asking nothing more. They deserve nothing less.

It is with great concern for our nation and the state of New York that I will continue to weigh in on these important issues and the dairy industry as a whole. I certainly appreciate your focus on this issue as well. If I can be of any assistance, please contact me through my office at 518-455-2761.