



NEW YORK STATE SENATOR

Liz Krueger

## Testimony Before The New York City Rent Guidelines Board Regarding Proposed Rent Increases on June 17, 2003

LIZ KRUEGER July 12, 2010

My name is Liz Krueger and I represent the 26<sup>th</sup> Senate District, which includes the Upper East Side, East Midtown and Midtown areas of Manhattan. I want to thank you for providing me with this opportunity to testify on the proposed rent increases for rent stabilized tenants which, if enacted, would grant landlords approval to increase rents by the largest percentages since 1989.

During these extraordinarily difficult economic times, rent increases of 5.5% and 8.5% will lead to tremendous hardships, and even evictions, for tens of thousands of New York City families. I have many serious concerns about the impacts these increases would have on the more than two million low, moderate and middle-income rent stabilized tenants of New York City who are already facing nearly unprecedented levels of unemployment and cost of living increases. The effects of these increases on seniors, the disabled and other vulnerable New Yorkers on fixed incomes would be especially grave.

I strongly encourage the board to reconsider its preliminary vote and to enact significantly lower guidelines. Such a decision would be entirely consistent with the legislative mandate and jurisdiction of the Rent Guidelines Board, which was established in 1969 to set rent guidelines that counteract the effects of an acute housing shortage. Free market conditions

and the rules of supply and demand do not apply to the NYC rental market. This shortage still exists —according to the 2002 Housing and Vacancy Survey, the vacancy rate is 2.94%. A vacancy rate of less than 5% creates abnormal market conditions. The RGB's mission is to construct or stimulate "normal" or "fair" rent levels in a market driven by chronic scarcity and instability.

Below are the key justifications for my position, as well as suggestions about how the RGB can expand its role in preserving affordable housing in New York City.

### **Why Are Lower Guidelines Appropriate This Year?**

- While it is reasonable to expect tenants and landlords to share the burden of increased operating expenses, this burden must be shared in proportion to each group's ability to pay. It is unconscionable for building owners in one of the most profitable economic sectors of our economy to pass all of their expenses onto tenants who have a median household income under \$31,000 and are facing numerous other regressive taxes and fees.
- Building owners legitimately claim that their operating expenses have risen significantly during the last year due to the rising property tax rates and the costs of insurance and fuel. However, the rent regulated real estate market continues to be one of the most consistently profitable investments in New York City. This sector of the NYC's real estate market remains so strong that even after the recent rise in operating expenses, Crain's New York

Business described it on May 5<sup>th</sup>, 2003 as “one of the hottest segments of the New York real estate market.....The stock market is volatile, and the commercial real estate is too risky for many investors. Refinancing is cheap. Rent regulated buildings offer a consistent return.”

- Owners of rent regulated buildings have done extremely well during the past decade – they have seen both their profits and the value of their properties rise exponentially. According to the RGB’s 2003 Income and Expense Study, owners’ Net Operating Income (the amount of income remaining after all operating and maintenance expenses have been paid) has risen almost every year since 1989. This same study reveals that owners’ average net operating income increased by 19% from 1989 to 2001 after adjusting for inflation.
- The fact that this year’s Price Index of Operating Costs (PIOC) is higher than it has been in recent years must be understood in a larger historical context. The dramatic increase in Net Operating Income since 1989 suggests that the RGB has historically *overestimated* owners’ operating and maintenance costs, and instituted guidelines higher than those which were required to enable owners to properly maintain their buildings and profit margins. Tenants received increases of 2% and 4% last year despite the fact that all research revealed that owners’ costs actually decreased.
- The RGB’s 2003 Mortgage Survey reveals that interest rates for new and refinanced multifamily mortgages are at their lowest levels in over 25 years. The low interest rates, combined with high levels of competition between lenders, have created extremely favorable conditions for owners of regulated buildings, and decreased the amount of revenue owners

must allocate to debt service on their properties. This fact is not considered in the PIOC.

- One of the most important factors the RGB must consider is whether owners of regulated properties have the necessary income to maintain their buildings. The overall condition of the city's rent regulated housing stock is healthy and continues to improve; the RGB's 2003 Income and Expense Study reveals that only 7% of all properties are distressed, down from 14% in 1990.
- Landlords have many additional methods to increase rents to account for costs and be reimbursed for necessary repairs—such as MCIs, vacancy increases, luxury decontrol and individual apartment improvements.
- Furthermore, owners of rent regulated units have the right to receive hardship increases if they do not receive a certain rate of return on their investments. The fact that so few hardship applications are filed each year reveals the overall health of the sector, as well as the reticence of owners to open their books to inspection as is required during the hardship application process.

#### **Larger Implications and Economic Context of RGB's Decision**

- Section 26-510(b) of the Rent Stabilization Law requires the RGB to consider “relevant data from the current and projected cost of living indices” in its deliberations; the RGB

members are also permitted to consider the effects of their decisions on the availability of affordable housing throughout the city. NYC is clearly in the midst of an economic recession that began before September 11<sup>th</sup>, 2001. NY State has the highest number of unemployed people of any state in the country—with the highest rate of unemployment in New York City.

- There is a direct correlation between RGB increases, the loss of affordable housing as more units become subject to vacancy decontrol, and increased levels of homelessness. In March 2003, an average of 38,254 people stayed in city homeless shelters each night; the number of families staying in shelters was 24% higher than in March of 2002. Additionally, the number of non-payment proceedings in Housing Court increased 19.4% in 2002, the highest number from 1985. The RGB's proposed guidelines would exacerbate the already dire circumstances of New York's low and moderate-income families.

- The proposed guidelines would also have significantly deleterious effects on middle-income families. The preservation of affordable rent regulated units is essential to efforts to keep middle-class families in NYC and to the maintenance of healthy stable communities. If we truly want the city to maintain its vitality and diversity, we must do all we can to ensure an effective rent protection system.

#### **Other Important Roles for the RGB in Protecting Affordable Housing**

- The RGB has made significant contributions to the public understanding of housing issues by producing a wide range of empirical studies. This research role has made the RGB a key participant in the ongoing public conversation about the fairness and effectiveness of

the rent stabilized system, and I encourage the Board to utilize this resource to the fullest extent possible.

- The PIOC is an extremely imprecise and controversial measurement of owners' income and expenses. The NYC RGB should have the ability to make decisions based on owners' actual yearly data. The rent guidelines boards of Nassau, Westchester and Rockland counties, which have the power to subpoena owners' revenue and income data, consistently pass significantly lower increases than the NYC board. Tenants in NYC should have the same right as tenants in Nassau, Westchester and Rockland counties to know that the increases on their apartments are based upon their landlords' income and costs.
- The RGB has the power to adopt resolutions with respect to the legislative design and administration of the rent stabilization laws. I strongly urge the RGB to pass a resolution calling upon the State Legislature to require NYC landlords to provide data directly to the RGB each year.
- The RGB should also pass resolutions asking DHCR to keep more comprehensive data, to provide complete data to the Board and the public and to proactively investigate complaints of illegal deregulation of apartments, questionable MCI increases, and landlords harassment charges.